

PROPOSITION FORM

(AR 340-15)

OFFICE OR OFFICE SYMBOL

LMVPD-F

SUBJECT

Review of DM No. 1, Hydrology and Hydraulic Analysis,
Part IV - Chalmette Extension

TO Mr. Bayley

FROM Mr. Leonard

DATE 25 Oct 67

CM

Leonard/mh/2

1. In the first place, I can see no useful purpose or any cogent reason for preparing this DM because:

a. Analysis has been made for the general area in Part I of DM No. 1. Any change in storm parameters (para 8b) influencing design criteria could be incorporated in DM No. 3, Supplement No. 1, scheduled for submission in Feb 68.

b. This DM presents very little additional data as evidenced by the frequent reference to details in DM No. 1, Part I (see especially para 6-8 and 11-13).

2. OCE has already authorized the inclusion of the Chalmette Extension in the Lake Pontchartrain Hurricane Project.

3. The District Engineer has presented a good case for the extension in special reports, and I presume the economics and design of the feature will be set forth more explicitly in DM No. 3, Supplement No. 1.

4. The only comment I would make on this DM (if submitted) would be that it does not contain any specific information on the relationship of the original plan of protection for the area in HD 550/87/2 (Mississippi Delta Project - Reach E - Violet to Verret) and the extension now considered as authorized under the Lake Pontchartrain Project (HD 231/89/1).

Raymond W. Leonard
RAYMOND W. LEONARD