

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary

Acronyms

Chapter 1 – Purpose and Need for Action

1.1 Background	1-1
1.2 Objectives of the Nationwide Permit Program	1-2
1.3 Purpose and Need	1-3
1.4 General Approach and Analytic Framework	1-3
1.5 Organization of the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement	1-5

Chapter 2 – Overview of Regulatory Program

2.1 Regulatory Program Background	2-1
2.2 Statutory Authorities	2-3
2.2.1 Section 10	2-3
2.2.2 Section 404	2-4
2.2.3 Section 103	2-4
2.3 Categories of Waters Regulated Under the Corps Program	2-4
2.3.1 Navigable Waters of the United States	2-4
2.3.2 Waters of the United States	2-5
2.3.3 Definition of Wetlands	2-5
2.3.4 Wetland Delineation Manual	2-5
2.4 Wetland Policy	2-5
2.5 Regulatory Program Goals	2-7
2.6 Regulatory Program Permitting Strategies – Types of Permits	2-7
2.6.1 Individual Permits	2-7
2.6.1.1 Standard Permits	2-7
2.6.1.2 Letters of Permission	2-8
2.6.2 General Permits	2-8
2.6.2.1 Nationwide Permits	2-8
2.6.2.2 Regional General Permits	2-9
2.6.2.3 Programmatic General Permits	2-9
2.7 Regulatory Program Project Evaluation	2-9
2.7.1 Pre-Application Process	2-9
2.7.2 Application	2-9
2.7.2.1 Standard Individual Permits and Letters of Permission	2-9
2.7.2.2 Nationwide Permits and General Permits	2-10
2.7.3 Evaluation of Proposed Activities	2-10

2.7.4 Public Notice	2-13
2.7.4.1 Standard Permits and Letters of Permission	2-13
2.7.4.2 Nationwide Permit Public Notices	2-14
2.7.4.3 Proposed Regional or Programmatic General Permits and Modifications to Existing General Permits	2-14
2.7.5 Public Interest Review and Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines Analysis	2-14
2.7.5.1 Alternatives Evaluation	2-16
2.7.6 Agency Coordination	2-17
2.7.7 Duration of Permits	2-18
2.7.8 Modification, Suspension, or Revocation of Permits	2-18
2.7.9 Authority to Issue or Deny Permits	2-19
2.7.10 Administrative Appeal Process	2-19
2.8 Regulatory Program Data	2-19
2.8.1 Nationwide Permit Data Collection	2-21
2.8.2 Future Data Collection Improvements	2-21

Chapter 3 Alternatives, Including the No Action Alternative

3.1 Formulation of the Alternatives—Scoping for the Nationwide Permit PEIS	3-1
3.1.1 Public and Agency Participation in the PEIS	3-1
3.1.2 Issues Identified through Scoping	3-1
3.2 Alternatives Evaluated in Detail	3-2
3.2.1 Alternative A—No Action—1996 Nationwide Permit Program	3-2
3.2.2 Alternative B—Nationwide Permit Replacement by Standard Permits (No Nationwide Permits-All Standard Permits)	3-4
3.2.3 Alternative C—Nationwide Permit Replacement by New Letters of Permission	3-5
3.2.4 Alternative D—Nationwide Permit Replacement by Regional General Permits	3-5
3.2.5 Alternative A1 - Procedural and Threshold Variation of the No Action Alternative	3-5
3.3 Alternatives Considered but not Evaluated in Detail	3-6
3.3.1 Other Nationwide Permit Program Alternatives	3-6
3.3.1.1 Nationwide Permit Replacement by State Programmatic General Permits	3-6
3.3.1.2 Activity Regulation by Rules	3-7
3.3.1.3 Various Combinations of Nationwide Permit Alternatives	3-7
3.3.1.4 Preferred Alternative	3-8
3.4 Comparison of the Alternatives	3-8
3.4.1 Comparison of the Procedures for Each of the Alternatives	3-8
3.4.1.1 Alternative A – Nationwide Permits	3-8
3.4.1.2 Alternative B – Individual Standard Permits	3-9
3.4.1.3 Alternative C – Letters of Permission	3-10
3.4.1.4 Alternative D – Regional General Permits	3-10

3.4.2 Comparison of the Impacts of the Alternatives on Aquatic Resources	3-11
3.4.2.1 Variation in Impact Avoidance and Minimization	3-11
3.4.2.2 Variation in Authorized Losses	3-12
3.4.2.3 Unreported Impacts	3-12
3.4.2.4 Compensatory Mitigation	3-12
3.4.2.5 Mitigation Success	3-13
3.4.2.6 Discussion and Summary	3-13
3.4.3 Comparison of the Impacts of the Alternatives on the Regulated Public	3-14
3.4.4 Comparison of the Impacts of the Alternatives on the Administration of the Program	3-15
3.4.5 Comparison Summary	3-17
3.5 Nationwide Permit Program Improvements to Reduce or Eliminate Adverse Environmental Impacts	3-18
3.5.1 Regulatory Database Data Entry and Other Information Deficiencies	3-19
3.5.2 Cumulative Impact Analysis Deficiencies	3-20
3.5.3 Compensatory Mitigation Success Deficiencies	3-21
3.5.3.1 Guidelines at Corps District Level	3-21
3.5.3.2 Using A Watershed or Regional Perspective	3-21
3.5.3.3 Other Options for Securing More Successful Mitigation	3-22
3.5.3.4 Use of Preservation	3-22
3.5.4 Endangered Species and Cultural Resources	3-23
3.5.5 Environmental Justice	3-23

Chapter 4 Affected Environment

4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.1.1 Program Intents With Respect to the Affected Environment	4-1
4.1.2 Classifying the Nation's Waters	4-3
4.2 Impacted Geographical Area	4-4
4.2.1 Classifying the Impacted Environments	4-4
4.2.2 Preprogram Historic Impacts	4-5
4.2.3 Recent History	4-7
4.2.4 Corps Permit Impacts In Fiscal Year 1998	4-9
4.2.5 Mitigation Success	4-13
4.2.6 Area Impacted by Nationwide Permits In Fiscal Year 1998	4-14
4.2.7 Impact Below Threshold Size for Nationwide Permits	4-16
4.3 Ecosystem Functions Impacted	4-17
4.3.1 Definition of Ecosystem Function	4-17
4.3.2 Functional Differences Among Aquatic Systems	4-19
4.3.3 Sources of Error for Estimating Functional Impacts	4-20
4.3.4 Functional Representation of Mitigation Ratios	4-21
4.4 Human Communities Affected by the Nationwide Permit Program	4-22
4.4.1 Geography and Demographics	4-22
4.4.2 The Natural Services Impacted by the Corps Permit Program	4-23

4.4.3 Differences in System Services	4-24
4.4.4 Values of Natural Services	4-24
4.4.5 Service Value From Mitigation	4-27
4.5 Permit Applicants Affected by the Nationwide Permits	4-30
4.5.1 Compliance Costs	4-30
4.5.1.1 Direct Costs	4-30
4.5.1.2 Indirect Costs	4-31
4.6 Costs Incurred by the Federal Government to Administer the Nationwide Permit Program	4-31
4.7 Cumulatively Impacted Environment	4-31
4.7.1 Compensatory Mitigation	4-31
4.7.2 Impacts to Other Waters	4-33
4.7.2.1 Palustrine Pond Impact	4-33
4.7.2.2 Riverine Impact	4-34
4.7.2.3 Lacustrine Impacts	4-35
4.7.2.4 Marine and Estuarine Impacts	4-36
4.7.3 Wetlands Impacts	4-36
4.7.4 Sources of Error in Cumulative Analysis	4-37
4.8 Chapter Summary	4-38

Chapter 5 Environmental Consequences

5.1 Analysis Approach and Chapter Organization	5-1
5.1.1 Impacts Analysis Approach	5-1
5.1.2 Organization of the Chapter	5-1
5.2 Impacts of Nationwide Permit Practices on Ecosystems: Procedures and Field Implementation	5-1
5.2.1 Section Organization and Assumptions	5-1
5.2.2 Procedures for Ensuring Minimal Adverse Effects and Field Implementation	5-2
5.2.2.1 Regional Conditions	5-2
5.2.2.2 Summary of District Use of Regional Conditions	5-2
5.2.2.3 Summary of Use of Regional Conditions Associated with State Water Quality and Coastal Zone Management Consistency Determinations	5-3
5.2.2.4 Discretionary Authority	5-3
5.2.2.5 Summary of District Use of Discretionary Authority Procedures	5-4
5.2.2.6 Summary of District Use of Permit Specific Special Conditions to Ensure Minimal Adverse Effects	5-4
5.2.2.7 Cumulative Impact Analysis Procedures	5-5
5.2.2.8 Summary of Field Implementation of Cumulative Impact Analysis	5-5
5.2.2.9 Procedures: Pre-Application Meetings	5-5
5.2.2.10 Summary of District Use of Pre-Application Meetings	5-6
5.2.2.11 Determining Federal Jurisdiction of Waters of the United States	5-6

5.2.2.12 Summary of District Approaches to Jurisdictional Determinations	5-6
5.2.2.13 Alternatives Analysis	5-7
5.2.2.14 Mitigation	5-8
5.2.2.14.1 Avoidance and Minimization	5-8
5.2.2.14.2 Compensatory Mitigation: Field Implementation	5-9
5.2.2.14.3 Permit Compliance (Primarily Compensatory Mitigation)	5-10
5.2.2.15 Agency Coordination	5-10
5.2.2.15.1 Individual Permits	5-11
5.2.2.15.2 Nationwide Permits	5-11
5.2.2.15.3 Public Notice: Field Implementation	5-11
5.2.3 Procedures to Ensure Protection of Endangered Species (Compliance with the Endangered Species Act) and Field Implementation	5-12
5.2.3.1 Procedures	5-12
5.2.3.2 Summary of Field Implementation for Protection of Endangered Species	5-12
5.2.4 Procedures to Ensure Protection of Historic and Cultural Resources and Field Implementation	5-13
5.2.4.1 Procedures	5-13
5.2.4.2 Summary of Field Implementation of Procedures to Protect Cultural Resources	5-13
5.2.5 Nationwide Permit Impacts on the Regulated Public: Procedures and Implementation	5-14
5.2.5.1 Provisional Permits	5-14
5.2.5.2 Provisional Permits: Field Implementation	5-15
5.2.5.3 Multiple Permits (stacking) Procedures	5-16
5.2.5.4 Field Implementation of “stacking”	5-16
5.3 Comparison of Impacts under Different Permit Types: Cumulative Impacts	5-17
5.3.1 Comparison of Environmental Impacts Under Different Permit Types	5-17
5.3.1.1 Impact Avoidance	5-17
5.3.1.2 Relative Impact of Permit Types	5-18
5.3.1.3 Impacts Among Tidal and Nontidal Wetlands	5-19
5.3.1.4 Impacts Below the Notification Threshold	5-20
5.3.1.5 Permit Denial	5-21
5.3.1.6 Compensatory Mitigation	5-21
5.3.1.6.1 Mitigation Ratios	5-21
5.3.1.6.2 Mitigation Distribution by Size Category	5-23
5.3.1.6.3 Compliance and Mitigation Monitoring	5-25
5.3.1.7 Environmental Impact Summary	5-26
5.3.1.8 Procedural and Threshold Variation of the Nationwide Permits	5-26
5.3.2 Costs to Permit Applicants	5-27
5.3.3 Costs to Government Administration	5-28
5.4 Summary of Comparison of Alternatives	5-30

References

List of Preparers

List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons to Whom Copies of the Statement are Sent

Appendices

- A: Scoping for the Nationwide Permit PEIS
- B: Field Implementation: Case Study Methods and Analysis
- C: Environmental Impacts Analysis
- D: Economic Analysis
- E. 1996 Nationwide Permits and Conditions
- F. Calendar Year 2000 Data for the Nationwide Permits